



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,315	10/01/2004	Rolf-Dieter Pavlik	2002P03969WOUS	4839
7590	06/19/2009		EXAMINER	
Siemens Corporation Intellectual Property Department 170 Wood Avenue South Iselin, NJ 08830			LI, GUANG W	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2446	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/19/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/510,315	PAVLIK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	GUANG LI	2446	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 April 2009.
 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 11,13,15-19,23-29,31 and 32 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 11,13,15-19,23-29,31 and 32 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 28 April 2008 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .

DETAILED ACTION

1. It is hereby acknowledged that the following papers have been received and placed of record in the file: Amendment date 04/02/2009
2. Claims 11, 13, 15-19, 23-29 and 31-32 are presented for examination.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 04/02/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
4. Applicant argues the following limitation(s):
 - Applicant argues, stated in the remark on page 6, "In the absence of a reference disclosing such communication between software modules of a web server using the Internet Protocol, it is not possible to provide a *prima facie* case of obviousness" On the contrary, examiner points out general purpose network protocols using this hardware include the increasingly dominant TCP/IP, and Novell IPX, Digital Equipments DECNET and others. The TCP/IP-Ethernet combination, in particular, is the most widely deployed computer network interface in use, and therefore has minimum cost to implement and support (see Swales: col.1 lines 56-61; col.4 lines 6-7). On figure 1 of Swales discloses this enables the data transfer between the application program 22 and the user 2 through the Internet 14. The application program provides data from the process control system 6 (Swale: col.4 lines 13-16). Swales clearly stated the data transfer between the application program 22 and the user 2 this is clearly stated the communication between the application program and the user is use Internet protocol to communicate. Although the reference only showing only one application programs it will be

obvious there are more than one application programs (PLC) that are communicated with the backplane and communicate to each other for controlling purpose. In addition, Linder also teaches the communication between the software modules using the Internet protocol. Linder discloses the PLC 1 (Fig.1 item 10a) comprising software modules (PLC ladder scanner functionality, MODUX handler, TCP/IP stack modules, Web Server). The communication communicate between the PLC ladder scan functionality 11, file server, MODBUS handle and TCP/IP stack are using the Internet protocol. Finally, Internet protocol is very common and communication between the device which make it obvious to use this common protocol to communicate between the software modules within the web server. For all the reasons above, examiner maintains his rejection on the limitation of communication between the software modules.

- Applicant argues, stated in the remark on page 6, “As previously urged, Swales may disclose a PLC, but does not disclose a web server comprising an expansion module which provides the functions of a PLC. With respect to Lindner, that reference also discloses a PLC but does not disclose a web server comprising an expansion module which provides the functions of a PLC.” On the contrary, examiner point out Linder discloses the module 11 having ladder scan functionality services I/O modules 22 connected to devices 23 that are either sensors or actuators, solving so-called ladder logic to determine outputs commanding the next state of each associated device based on all inputs for that device (see Linder: col. 3 lines 49-64). In another word, examiner interprets the PLC1 (10a) as the web server that comprising software modules (PLC ladder scanner functionality, MODUX handler, TCP/IP stack modules, Web Server) which that PLC ladder scan functionality that able to provide functionality of PLC. Since the

PLC ladder scan functionality is within the PLC1 (10a) that provide the functionality of automation control of device, therefore Linder does teaches expansion module which provides the functions of a PLC. In addition, a PLC functionality just a simple logic that able to automation control the automation device. It would be obvious to integrate the function of a PLC within the Web server.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

5. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

6. Claims 11-13, 15-19, 23-29 and 31-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. As the specification discloses (¶[0006]), "web server comprising **software modules** and an expansion module...". a web server comprising software module and expansion module which directed to software per se. The function of programmable logic controller are software functions are implementing in web server. The web server comprising function of a programmable logic controller which still consider as software. It is directed to web server having software module. Therefore, it's non-statutory under 35 USC 101.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

8. Claims 11-13, 15-19, 23-29 and 31-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swales (US 6,321,272) in view of Lindner et al (US 6,640,140) and further in view of Woest (US 5,444,851).

9. Regarding claim 11, Swales teaches a web server (Web server 30 see Swales: Fig.2 block 30) comprising software modules and an expansion module (Swales: Fig.3 web server module), wherein Internet protocols are provided for communication between the software modules and for communication between the software modules and components outside of the web server (Swales clearly stated the data transfer between the application program 22 and the user 2 this is clearly stated the communication between the application program and the user is use Internet protocol to communicate and TCP/IP protocol was use in and out of network “General purpose network protocols using this hardware include the increasingly dominant TCP/IP, and Novell IPX, Digital Equipments DECNET and others. The TCP/IP-Ethernet combination, in particular, is the most widely deployed computer network interface in use, and therefore has minimum cost to implement and support” see Swales: col.1 lines 56-61; col.4 lines 6-7), the server providing through the expansion module a first mechanism for implementing an automation functionality (programmable controller use to control the process control system “field of programmable controllers and more particularly to a system for the exchange of time-critical information between control devices coupled to an intranetwork such as would be common in the fields of factory automation and industrial process control” see Swales: col.1 lines 15-20) and the server further providing a mechanism (communication link between Ethernet driver 48 and network 42 see Swales: Fig. 3) to directly access the real-time communication level (“provide an interface between the general purpose network and the industrial control system that will allow the transfer of real time control data with guaranteed delivery times” see Swales: col.2 lines 31-34) of a real-time Ethernet,(web server module can be adapted to different kind of network “Examples of such networks are Ethernet, IBM Token Ring, Fiber Distributed Data Interface, the

X.25 international packet switch network and many offerings from telephone companies such as Asynchronous Transfer Mode" see Swales: col.1 lines 49-55) wherein the expansion module is connected to an input/output module of an automation system (Programmable logic controller 110 able support the input 122 and output devices 120 and connected to web server through Intranet 102 see Swales: Fig.5) and wherein the web server comprises a connection to a communication network (web server module 30 within web server connects to network 42 see Swales: Fig.3 blocks 30 and 42).

Swales does not explicitly disclose an expansion module which provides the functions of programmable logic controller.

Lindner teaches an expansion module which provides the functions of programmable logic controller (the functionality services is within the PLC devices that provide functionality of PLC "The module 11 having ladder scan functionality services I/O modules 22 connected to devices 23 that are either sensors or actuators, solving so-called ladder logic to determine outputs commanding the next state of each associated device based on all inputs for that device" see Linder: col. 3 lines 49-64).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Swales and Lindner before them at the time the invention was made to modify the expansion module which provides the functions of programmable logic controller as taught by Lindner.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make this modification in order to enhance automation system for control purpose in view of Lindner.

The modified Swales does not explicitly teaches wherein the server is enabled, via connection through the expansion module to one or more automation devices, to control at least

one device taken from the group consisting of a computer numerical control device, a vale and a drive.

However Woest teaches the server is enabled, via connection through the expansion module to one or more automation devices (expansion module to form network control unit “The expansion module is also a plug in module which plugs into a connector on a back plane in a node of a facilities management system” see Woest: col.29 lines 6-27), to control at least one device taken from the group consisting of a computer numerical control device, a vale and a drive (control using the expansion module to control the air conditioning and lighting “A network control unit (NCU) in a facilities management system monitors and supervises heating ventilating and air conditioning (HVAC), lighting, and building functions” see Woest: col.29 lines 29-49) in order to produce controlled variation of system performance based on the quality of measured data (see Woest: col.7 lines 18-30)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to create the invention of modified Swales to include (or to use, etc.) the server is enabled, via connection through the expansion module to one or more automation devices, to control at least one device taken from the group consisting of a computer numerical control device, a vale and a drive. as taught by Woest in order to produce controlled variation of system performance based on the quality of measured data (see Woest: col.7 lines 18-30).

10. Claim 12 is canceled.

11. Regarding claim 13, modified Swales taught the web server according to claim 12, as set hereinabove. Swale further teaches wherein the communication network is the Internet (the

relationship between a user 2 at a remote location and an Internet web site 4 used for monitoring a process control system 6 through Internet 14 see Swales: col.3 lines 56-59; Fig.1).

12. Claim 14 is canceled.

13. Regarding claim 15, claim 15 is rejected for the same reason as claim 14 as set forth hereinabove.

14. Regarding claim 16, modified Swales taught the web server according to claim 11, as set hereinabove. Swale further teaches wherein the web server is adapted for configuration and administration of the software modules (administrator access the web server to control the backplane application “The gateway 72 contains a firewall to provide the necessary security and couples the PLC system 70 through an intranetwork 74 controlled by a network administrator 76” see Swales: col.9 lines 65-67 and col.10 lines 1-12).

15. Regarding claim 17, claim 17 is rejected for the same reason as claim 16 as set forth hereinabove.

16. Regarding claim 18, claim 18 is rejected for the same reason as claim 16 as set forth hereinabove.

17. Regarding claim 19, modified Swales taught the web server according to claim 11, as set hereinabove. Swale further teaches wherein the expansion module comprises a connection to an industrial automation system (interface between the general purpose network and the **industrial control system** that will carry on-demand traffic from computer systems, operator terminals, and alarm systems see Swales: col.2 lines 35-39).

18. Regarding claim 23, modified Swales taught the web server according to claim 11, as set hereinabove. Swale further teaches wherein the web server comprises a connection to Internet

via a firewall (A firewall or security for the overall system can be included in the Web Server 30, but is generally maintained as part of the network interface 16 see Swales col.4 lines 39-41).

19. Regarding claim 24, modified Swales taught the web server according to claim 11, as set hereinabove. Swale further teaches wherein the web server is connected via a communication network to a web browser as a control and monitoring system (The browser 10 functions as a remote human-machine interface or HMI control of the process control system and user at a remote location utilizing a browser which controlling a programmable controller system see Swales col.4 lines 31-33; Fig.7).

20. Regarding claim 25, claim 25 is rejected for the same reason as claim 24 as set forth hereinabove.

21. Regarding claim 26, claim 26 is rejected for the same reason as claim 24 as set forth hereinabove.

22. Regarding claim 27, modified Swales taught the web server according to claim 11, as set hereinabove. Swale further teaches wherein the web server comprises a real-time operating system (A real time operating system 44 controls the interaction between the components. The operating system 44 allocates central processor (CPU) 46 to various tasks, provides memory management, and provides a set of message services and signal services see Swales col.5 lines 9-13).

23. Regarding claim 28, claim 28 is rejected for the same reason as claim 27 as set forth hereinabove.

24. Regarding claim 29, Swales teaches a automation system comprising a web server (Web server 30 see Swales: Fig.2 block 30) comprising software modules and wherein Internet

protocols are provided for communication between the software modules and for communication between the software modules and components outside of the web server (Swales clearly stated the data transfer between the application program 22 and the user 2 this is clearly stated the communication between the application program and the user is use Internet protocol to communicate and TCP/IP protocol was use in and out of network “General purpose network protocols using this hardware include the increasingly dominant TCP/IP, and Novell IPX, Digital Equipments DECNET and others. The TCP/IP-Ethernet combination, in particular, is the most widely deployed computer network interface in use, and therefore has minimum cost to implement and support” see Swales: col.1 lines 56-61; col.4 lines 6-7), the expansion module (Swales: Fig.3 web server module) providing an automation functionality (programmable controller use to control the process control system “field of programmable controllers and more particularly to a system for the exchange of time-critical information between control devices coupled to an intranetwork such as would be common in the fields of factory automation and industrial process control” see Swales col.1 lines 15-20) within connection to an input/output module of an automation system (Programmable logic controller 110 able support the input 122 and output devices 120 and connected to web server through Intranet 102 see Swales: Fig.5) and the server further comprising a connection providing direct access to the real-time communication level (“provide an interface between the general purpose network and the industrial control system that will allow the transfer of real time control data with guaranteed delivery times” see Swales: col.2 lines 31-34) of a real-time Ethernet(web server module can be adapted to different kind of network “Examples of such networks are Ethernet, IBM Token Ring, Fiber Distributed Data Interface, the X.25 international packet switch network and many

offerings from telephone companies such as Asynchronous Transfer Mode" see Swales: col.1 lines 49-55).

Swales does not explicitly disclose an expansion module which provides the functions of programmable logic controller.

Lindner teaches an expansion module which provides the functions of programmable logic controller (the functionality services is within the PLC devices that provide functionality of PLC "The module 11 having ladder scan functionality services I/O modules 22 connected to devices 23 that are either sensors or actuators, solving so-called ladder logic to determine outputs commanding the next state of each associated device based on all inputs for that device" see Linder: col. 3 lines 49-64).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Swales and Lindner before them at the time the invention was made to modify the expansion module which provides the functions of programmable logic controller as taught by Lindner.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make this modification in order to enhance automation system for control purpose in view of Lindner.

However Woest teaches the server is enabled, via connection through the expansion module to one or more automation devices (expansion module to form network control unit "The expansion module is also a plug in module which plugs into a connector on a back plane in a node of a facilities management system" see Woest: col.29 lines 6-27), to control at least one device taken from the group consisting of a computer numerical control device, a vale and a drive (control using the expansion module to control the air conditioning and lighting "A network control unit (NCU) in a facilities management system monitors and supervises heating

ventilating and air conditioning (HVAC), lighting, and building functions" see Woest: col.29 lines 29-49) in order to produce controlled variation of system performance based on the quality of measured data (see Woest: col.7 lines 18-30)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to create the invention of modified Swales to include (or to use, etc.) the server is enabled, via connection through the expansion module to one or more automation devices, to control at least one device taken from the group consisting of a computer numerical control device, a vale and a drive. as taught by Woest in order to produce controlled variation of system performance based on the quality of measured data (see Woest: col.7 lines 18-30).

25. Regarding claim 31, modified Swales taught the web server according to claim 11, as set hereinabove. Swale further teaches wherein the first mechanism is a controller of components and processes (Backplane driver and Ethernet driver use for controlling process see Swales: Fig.3 blocks 50 and 56), wherein the web server includes a TCP/IP stack (Fig.3 block 54) and wherein direct access to the real-time communication level is effected by a direct connection between the TCP/IP stack and an automation device with communication by means of a TCP/IP-based real-time Ethernet protocol (Ethernet and backplane driver user the TCP/IP stack protocol to transmit messages "The TCP/IP stack 54 calls the Ethernet driver 48 to transmit a message. The Ethernet driver 46 attempts to allocate a buffer from the shared memory 52. If it succeeds, it copies the message into the buffer, and places the buffer into the AM79C961 transmit queue" see Swales: col.5 lines 35-45).

26. Regarding claims 32, they are rejected for the same reason as claim 31 as set forth hereinabove.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Guang Li whose telephone number is (571) 270-1897. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30AM-5:00PM(EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeff Pwu can be reached on (571) 272-6798. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

June 19, 2009
GL
Patent Examiner

/Jeffrey Pwu/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit
2446